

Goldstein & Naglieri

Goldstein & Naglieri Excellence In Assessments

Jack A. Naglieri, Ph.D. & Sam Goldstein, Ph.D.

Self-Report Form Progress Monitoring & Treatment Effectiveness Report

Name/ID:	Jodie Weather		
Gender:	Female		
Birth Date:	January 17, 1997	•	
	Administration 1	Administration 2	
Name/ID:	Jodie Weather	Jodie Weather	
Administration Date:	January 25, 2017	May 2, 2017	
Age:	20	20	
Examiner:			
Data Entered By:			
	S		

This Progress Monitoring and Treatment Effectiveness Report is intended for use by qualified individuals. Parts of this report contain copyrighted material, including test items. If it is necessary to provide a copy of this report to anyone other than the examiner, sections containing copyrighted material must be removed.





About the Comprehensive Executive Function Inventory Adult[™]

The Comprehensive Executive Function Inventory Adult (CEFI Adult™) Self-Report Form is used to quantify an individual's level of executive function. In combination with other information, results from the CEFI Adult help calibrate an individual's level of executive function in the following areas: Attention, Emotion Regulation, Flexibility, Inhibitory Control, Initiation, Organization, Planning, Self-Monitoring, and Working Memory.

To help the user interpret changes in reported behavior that have occurred over time and to provide an indication of treatment effectiveness, this computerized report provides quantitative information about the ratings of the individual from up to four administrations of the CEFI Adult Self-Report Form. For additional information about progress monitoring and treatment effectiveness, consult the CEFI Adult Technical Manual.

About the Ratings

This section of the report provides an evaluation of the ratings provided by this rater from two administrations of the CEFI Adult. Item scores were examined for consistency, negative impression, and number of omitted items. The amount of time it took to complete the assessment was also examined. If response bias is indicated, the responses should be reviewed with the rater to explore possible reasons why.


Rating Characteristic

кацпд	Characteristic	
	Administration 1	Administration 2
	01/25/2017	05/02/2017
CONSISTENCY INDEX	2 An inconsistent response style is not indicated.	2 An inconsistent response style is not indicated.
NEGATIVE IMPRESSION	O A negative response style is not indicated.	O A negative response style is not indicated.
OMITTED ITEMS	O The rater did not omit any of the items.	O The rater did not omit any of the items.
COMPLETION TIME	15 minutes The response time was typical.	15 minutes The response time was typical.





Overview of Results Across Administrations







Detailed Scores and Significant Change Across Administrations

Jodie's results are detailed in the tables that follow. Standard Scores (SS), Classifications (Average, Above Average, Below Average, etc.), and Executive Function Strengths (EFS)/Executive Function Weaknesses (EFW) are shown for each administration of the CEFI Adult. Statistically significant (p < .05) differences across administrations for each score are noted in the "Significant Change Across Administrations" column(s).

Classification	: Well Below			9 Average = 90–109	
		ge = 110–119 Superior = 120–	-129 Very Superior ≥ 130)	
FULL SCALE					
Score		Admin 1	Admin 2	Significant Change Across Administrations	
		0 1/25 /2017	0 5 /02/2017	Admin (1 to 2)	
Standard Score Classification		80	90	Increase	
		Low Average	Average	- Increase	
CEFI ADULT	SCALES				
		Admin 1 Admin 2		Significant Change Across Administrations	
	Score	0 1/25 /2017	0 5 /02/2017	Admin (1 to 2)	
Attention	SS	52	77		
	Classification	Well Below Average	Below Average	Increase	
	EFS/EFW	Weakness	Weakness		
	SS	98	98		
Emotion Regulation	Classification	Average	Average	No Change	
Regulation	EFS/EFW	-			
	SS	105	102		
Flexibility	Classification	Average	Average	No Change	
	EFS/EFW	-	-		
	SS	87	89		
Inhibitory Control	Classification	Low Average	Low Average	No Change	
control	EFS/EFW	-	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Initiation	SS	91	104		
	Classification	Average	Average	No Change	
	EFS/EFW	-	-		
	SS	68	89		
Organization	Classification	Well Below Average	Low Average	Increase	
	EFS/EFW	Weakness	-		
	SS	82	87		
Planning	Classification	Low Average	Low Average	No Change	
	EFS/EFW	-	-		
Colf	SS	87	87		
Self- Monitoring	Classification	Low Average	Low Average	No Change	
	EFS/EFW	-	-		
Working Memory	SS	76	89		
	Classification	Below Average	Low Average	No Change	
	EFS/EFW	-	-		





Item Responses

The rater provided the following item responses.

Response Key: 0 = Never, 1 = Rarely, 2 = Sometimes, 3 = Often, 4 = Very Often, 5 = Always, ? = Omitted Item

	Ratings			Ratings		
ltem	Admin 1 01/25/2017	Admin 2 05/02/2017	ltem	Admin 1 01/2 5 /2017	Admin 2 05/02/2017	
1.	3	3	41.	4	3	
2.	4	2	42.	3	2	
3.	3	3	43.	1	2	
4.	3	2	44.	3	2	
5.	2	2	45.	1	2	
6.	3	2	46.	2	2	
7.	3	3	47.	1	2	
8.	1	2	48.	3	2	
9.	2	3	49.	1	4	
10.	3	3	50.	2	2	
11.	2	2	51.	4	3	
12.	1	2	52.	2	3	
13.	3	3	53.	2	2	
14.	1	2	54.	3	2	
15.	2	3	55.	2	2	
16.	2	2	56.	2	2	
17.	3	3	57.	2	2	
18.	2	2	58.	3	3	
19.	3	3	59.	2	3	
20.	2	2	60.	5	4	
21.	0	2	61.	2	3	
22.	5	3	62.	2	2	
23.	2	2	63.	2	2	
24.	2	2	64.	1	2	
25.	3	2	65.	2	2	
26.	2	2	66.	0	1	
27.	1	2	67.	1	4	
28.	1	2	68.	3	1	
29.	3	3	69.	2	2	
30.	2	2	70.	3	2	
31.	1	2	71.	2	4	
32.	3	2	72.	4	2	
33.	3	3	73.	2	1	
34.	2	3	74.	2	2	
35.	3	3	75.	2	4	
36.	0	2	76.	4	1	
37.	2	2	77.	2	4	
38.	3	2	78.	2	2	
39.	3	2	79.	3	4	
40.	4	3	80.	3	4	

