



Neuropsychological Assessment Battery®

By
Robert A. Stern, PhD, and Travis White, PhD

Screening Module Score Report

Developed By
Travis White, PhD, Robert A. Stern, PhD, and PAR Staff

Patient Information

Patient Name: Sample Client
Patient ID: 123-45-6789
Date of Birth: 01/01/1958
Age: 45
Sex: Male
Handedness: Right
Education: 13

Testing Information

Date of Examination: 08/12/2003
Normative Sample: Demographically Corrected Sample

This report is confidential and is intended to be used by qualified individuals only, as defined in the *NAB Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation Manual* (Stern & White, 2003). The report should only be released to individuals who are qualified to interpret the results. NAB test scores should be interpreted within the context of the examinee's individual presentation and history. Although standardized scores provide the examiner with an important and necessary understanding of the individual's test performance compared with a normative group, they do not on their own lead to accurate diagnosis or treatment recommendations. Please refer to the *NAB Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation Manual* for guidance in the interpretation and meaning of NAB scores.

Screening Domain Score Summary Table

Screening Domain Score	Standard Score	Percentile Rank	Confidence Interval 95%	Interpretive Category
Screening Attention Domain (S-ATT)	58	0.26	49 - 67	Moderately-to-severely impaired
Screening Language Domain (S-LAN)	101	53	81 - 121	Average
Screening Memory Domain (S-MEM)	89	23	76 - 102	Below Average
Screening Spatial Domain (S-SPT)	98	45	82 - 114	Average
Screening Executive Functions Domain (S-EXE)	73	4	62 - 84	Mildly-to-moderately impaired
Total Screening Index (S-NAB)	75	5	62 - 88	Mildly-to-moderately impaired


Recommendations for Administration of Main NAB Modules

Screening Domain Score	Score Range	Patient's Score	Administration Recommendation for Main Module
Screening Attention Domain (S-ATT)	45-74	58	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Attention Module
	75-113		<input type="checkbox"/> Administer NAB Attention Module
	114-155		<input type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Attention Module
Screening Language Domain (S-LAN)	45-75		<input type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Language Module
	76-125	101	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administer NAB Language Module
	126-155		<input type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Language Module
Screening Memory Domain (S-MEM)	45-75		<input type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Memory Module
	76-118	89	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administer NAB Memory Module
	119-155		<input type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Memory Module
Screening Spatial Domain (S-SPT)	45-74		<input type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Spatial Module
	75-119	98	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administer NAB Spatial Module
	120-155		<input type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Spatial Module
Screening Executive Functions Domain (S-EXE)	45-73	73	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Executive Functions Module
	74-114		<input type="checkbox"/> Administer NAB Executive Functions Module
	115-155		<input type="checkbox"/> Do not administer NAB Executive Functions Module


Screening Recommendations Caveats

Screening recommendations were developed to assist clinicians with interpreting NAB Screening Domain scores for purposes of selecting main NAB module(s) for subsequent administration after the Screening Module. A detailed discussion of the methodology used to determine the screening recommendations is contained in the *NAB Psychometric and Technical Manual* (White & Stern, 2003). These screening recommendations are merely guidelines for those users who may wish to follow them. Many referral questions and applications of the NAB will no doubt require administration of the entire NAB or select NAB modules, and professional clinicians should use their judgment when determining the need for administration of the entire NAB or select NAB modules.

Screening Domain / Index Score Profiles



Screening T Score Profiles



Screening Attention Score Table Form 1

Score	Raw Score	z Score	T Score	%ile	Cum. %age	Interpretive Category
Screening Orientation (S-ORN)	18			< 1		Severely impaired
Screening Orientation to Self (S-ORN-slf)	5				0.00	
Screening Orientation to Time (S-ORN-tim)	8				2	
Screening Orientation to Place (S-ORN-plc)	4				100.00	
Screening Orientation to Situation (S-ORN-sit)	1				100.00	
Screening Digits Forward (S-DGF)	1	-3.12	19	< 1		Severely impaired
Screening Digits Forward Longest Span (S-DGF-spn)	3			< 1		Severely impaired
Screening Digits Backward (S-DGB)	2	-0.95	34	5		Mildly-to-moderately impaired
Screening Digits Backward Longest Span (S-DGB-spn)	3			3		Mildly-to-moderately impaired
Screening Numbers & Letters Part A Speed (S-N&L _A -spd)	50	-1.13	31	3		Mildly-to-moderately impaired
Screening Numbers & Letters Part A Errors (S-N&L _A -err)	0	-0.13	53	62		Average
Screening Numbers & Letters Part A Efficiency (S-N&L _A -eff)	80	-0.99	32	4		Mildly-to-moderately impaired
Screening Numbers & Letters Part B Efficiency (S-N&L _B -eff)	32	-0.25	43	24		Below Average

Qualitative Features

Screening Digits Forward Qualitative Features:

Reversals Omissions Perseverations

Screening Digits Backward Qualitative Features:

Reversals Omissions Perseverations

Screening Attention Domain Score Table

Score	Sum of T Scores	S-ATT Standard Score	Percentile Rank	Confidence Interval 95%
Screening Attention Domain (S-ATT)	128	58	0.26	49 - 67

Screening Language Score Table Form 1

Score	Raw Score	z Score	T Score	%ile	Cum. %age	Interpretive Category
Screening Auditory Comprehension (S-AUD)	55	-0.77	52	58		Average
Screening Auditory Comprehension Colors (S-AUD-col)	13				100.00	
Screening Auditory Comprehension Shapes (S-AUD-shp)	22				100.00	
Screening Auditory Comprehension Colors/Shapes/Numbers (S-AUD-csn)	20				15	
Screening Naming (S-NAM)	10	-0.10	55	69		Above average
Screening Naming Percent Correct After Semantic Cuing (S-NAM-sem%)	N/A				N/A	
Screening Naming Percent Correct After Phonemic Cuing (S-NAM-pho%)	N/A				N/A	

Qualitative Features

Screening Auditory Comprehension Colors Qualitative Features:

- Pointing problems Perseverations Sequencing problems

Screening Auditory Comprehension Shapes Qualitative Features:

- Pointing problems Perseverations Sequencing problems

Screening Auditory Comprehension Colors/Shapes/Numbers Qualitative Features:

- Pointing problems Perseverations Number problems

Screening Naming Qualitative Features:

- Perceptual errors Perseverations Semantic paraphasias
 Phonemic paraphasias

Screening Language Domain Score Table

Score	Sum of T Scores	S-LAN Standard Score	Percentile Rank	Confidence Interval 95%
Screening Language Domain (S-LAN)	107	101	53	81 - 121

Screening Memory Score Table

Form 1

Score	Raw Score	z Score	T Score	%ile	Interpretive Category
Screening Shape Learning Immediate Recognition (S-SHL-irg)	4	1.55	62	88	Above average
Screening Shape Learning Delayed Recognition (S-SHL-drg)	2	0.28	46	34	Average
Screening Shape Learning Percent Retention (S-SHL-%rt)	50			19	Below Average
Screening Story Learning Immediate Recall (S-STL-irc)	10	-1.48	30	2	Mildly-to-moderately impaired
Screening Story Learning Delayed Recall (S-STL-drc)	12	-0.50	43	24	Below Average
Screening Story Learning Percent Retention (S-STL-%rt)	120			97	Above average

Screening Memory Domain Score Table

Score	Sum of T Scores	S-MEM Standard Score	Percentile Rank	Confidence Interval 95%
Screening Memory Domain (S-MEM)	181	89	23	76 - 102

Screening Spatial Score Table

Form 1

Score	Raw Score	z Score	T Score	%ile	Interpretive Category
Screening Visual Discrimination (S-VIS)	5	0.81	59	82	Above average
Screening Design Construction (S-DES)	5	-0.18	41	18	Below Average

Screening Spatial Domain Score Table

Score	Sum of T Scores	S-SPT Standard Score	Percentile Rank	Confidence Interval 95%
Screening Spatial Domain (S-SPT)	100	98	45	82 - 114

Screening Executive Functions Score Table

Form 1

Score	Raw Score	z Score	T Score	%ile	Interpretive Category
Screening Mazes (S-MAZ)	3	-0.67	25	1	Moderately impaired
Screening Word Generation (S-WGN)	5	-0.08	46	34	Average
Screening Word Generation Perseverations (S-WGN-psv)	5			< 1	Severely impaired

Screening Executive Functions Domain Score Table

Score	Sum of T Scores	S-EXE Standard Score	Percentile Rank	Confidence Interval 95%
Screening Executive Functions Domain (S-EXE)	71	73	4	62 - 84

End of Report