

Tasks of Executive Control™

TEC™

Protocol Summary Report

Developed by

Peter K. Isquith, PhD, Robert M. Roth, PhD, Gerard A. Gioia, PhD, and PAR Staff

Report Information

Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

Gender: Male

Date of Birth: 04/30/1995

Handedness: Right

First Administration: Form 2

Age: 13

Test Date: 11/05/2008

Test Description: Visit 1 Post-injury

Second Administration: Form 2

Age: 13

Test Date: 11/12/2008

Test Description: Visit 2 Post-injury

Third Administration: Form 3

Age: 13

Test Date: 11/21/2008

Test Description: Post-injury visit 3

Prepared for: Examiner Example, PhD

Introduction

The Tasks of Executive Control (TEC) Protocol Summary Report provides tabular and graphical data for TEC scores for one or more administrations of the TEC. Factor scores, Summary scores, and Task scores are reported in detail for each TEC administration. To facilitate comparison of performance across administrations, for such purposes as measuring change after treatment or evaluating recovery following injury or illness, standardized regression-based (SRB) change scores are provided for Factor and Summary scores on repeat administrations relative to the first administration. Data for the first administration appear in the left-hand column of the tables in this report. The TEC Protocol Summary Report does not allow for comparison of within-test change. Please refer to the TEC Client Report for information regarding within-test SRB change scores.

The TEC includes five forms with equivalent numbers and types of stimuli and identical timing of stimulus presentations. Only the picture stimuli differ between forms. Forms 1, 2, and 3 are equivalent. That is, there are no significant or substantial differences between standard scores for these three forms, allowing direct comparison of scores. Two additional forms are included for situations when a fourth or fifth TEC administration may be desirable, such as research or repeated assessment of recovery or treatment effects. Although Forms 4 and 5 are equivalent in design to Forms 1, 2, and 3, their statistical equivalence has not yet been examined. Therefore, the *T* scores and percentiles for Forms 4 and 5, which are calculated using the equations for Forms 1 through 3 and appear in the Protocol Summary Report, should be compared to those from Forms 1, 2, and 3 cautiously. Please see chapter 4 of the TEC Professional Manual for further details.

Significant changes that occur in TEC Factor or Summary scores from Administration 1 to Administrations 2, 3, 4, and/or 5 are indicated with an asterisk (*) and bolded text within the tables. A Factor or Summary score is deemed to have changed significantly if the difference between the predicted and obtained scores for Administration 2, 3, 4, or 5 falls outside of an 80% confidence interval. Scores for Administration 2, 3, 4, and 5 are predicted using SRB change scores that control for gender, age, and the individual's performance on TEC Administration 1. SRB change scores are not provided for individual Task scores, as this involves many additional calculations and an increased risk of Type I error. For more information about SRB analyses, please see chapter 5 of the TEC Professional Manual. It is important to note that SRB change scores are provided to supplement, not replace, clinical judgment in evaluating change in performance.

Validity of TEC Administration

Valid interpretation of the TEC requires that the tasks be administered correctly and with timing that follows the prescribed parameters. The TEC software self-verifies the accuracy of computer administration. Results of the verification indicate that there were no problems with the accuracy or timing of any the TEC administrations.

Valid interpretation of the TEC also requires that all recommended tasks for a given age are completed in sequential order for each TEC administration (i.e., children ages 5-7 years must complete Tasks 1 through 4 for each administration; children and adolescents ages 8-18 years must complete Tasks 1 through 6 for each administration). Results of this validity check indicate

*Client Name: Sample Client**Client ID: Website PSR*

that Sample completed the number of required tasks for his age group in the recommended order.

Finally, valid interpretation of the TEC requires that the client exert adequate effort on the tasks. Behavioral observations should be considered when determining whether appropriate effort was put forth during each TEC administration. Further, responding accurately to fewer than 20 Standard (i.e. Blue button) or fewer than 2 Target (i.e. Red button) stimuli on a given task may suggest inadequate effort or difficulty understanding task demands. Validity of the resulting scores, particularly for the given task, may be questionable. In regards to the Standard stimuli, results of this validity check indicate that Sample put forth adequate effort during all TEC administrations, suggesting that results are interpretable. In regards to Target stimuli, results of this validity check indicate that Sample put forth adequate effort during all TEC administrations, suggesting that results are interpretable.

TEC Performance

Factor Scores

Factor scores provide a statistically based composite indication of performance on the TEC as a whole. Four Factor scores on the TEC are calculated for children and adolescents 8 years of age and older based on the TEC factor structure (see chapter 5 of the TEC Manual). These include:

- 1) Sustained Accuracy is defined by five accuracy measures and two response time measures for Standard stimuli. Better performance (i.e., lower *T* scores) reflects greater accuracy in responding to the high frequency Standard stimuli for most of the test along with modulation of speed of response.
- 2) Selective Attention is defined by a combination of correct responses to Target stimuli (i.e., Target Correct; these are selective responses) and commission errors (i.e., Commissions; these reflect inhibitory control). In this performance factor, the respondent must selectively coordinate his or her responses to both the Standard and Target stimuli, applying the task rules held in working memory. This score is a reflection of coordinated selective attention. Lower *T* scores reflect better coordination and control of attention, whereas higher *T* scores reflect problems with selective attention.
- 3) Response Speed is defined by 9 of the 12 Response Time (RT) variables for correct responses to Standard and Target stimuli across the six tasks. Interpretation of this factor score is relatively straightforward: A faster response speed corresponds to a lower *T* score (i.e., a better performance). Conversely, a slower response speed corresponds to a higher *T* score.
- 4) Response Variability is defined by RT variability (as measured by the Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation; ICV) for 10 of the 12 tasks (i.e., Target stimuli for the first four tasks; Standard stimuli for all six tasks). Lower *T* scores on this factor reflect more consistent (i.e., less variable) responding in general. Conversely, higher *T* scores reflect more variable performance.

Factor scores for Sample are illustrated in the subsequent figure and reported in the subsequent tables (Factor score Summary table and Factor score SRB table).


TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Page 4 of 14

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

Bar Graph of Factor T Scores Obtained for Each TEC Administration



Factor T Scores, Percentiles, and Raw Scores Obtained Across Each TEC Administration for 8 to 18 Year Olds

Factor	Administration				
	1 Form 2 11/05/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	2 Form 2 11/12/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	3 Form 3 11/21/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	4 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	5 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]
Sustained Accuracy	50 (50) [0.78]	46 (34) [2.09]	45 (31) [2.59]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Selective Attention	44 (27) [4.03]	42 (21) [5.35]	31 (3) [11.92]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response Speed	66 (95) [-10.66]	58 (79) [-4.33]	57 (76) [-3.66]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response Variability	64 (92) [-8.98]	65 (93) [-9.43]	60 (84) [-6.12]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. Factor scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an "----" in this table.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Page 5 of 14

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

Predicted Raw Scores, Obtained Raw Scores, and SRB Change Scores for the 8 - 18 Year Old Factors Across Each TEC Administration

Factor	Administration Comparison			
	Time 1 vs Time 2 Predicted raw score (Obtained raw score) [SRB change score]	Time 1 vs Time 3 Predicted raw score (Obtained raw score) [SRB change score]	Time 1 vs Time 4 Predicted raw score (Obtained raw score) [SRB change score]	Time 1 vs Time 5 Predicted raw score (Obtained raw score) [SRB change score]
Sustained Accuracy	1.69 (2.09) [0.22]	1.69 (2.59) [0.48]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Selective Attention	5.19 (5.35) [0.05]	5.19 (11.92) [2.27*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response Speed	-9.75 (-4.33) [1.76*]	-9.75 (-3.66) [1.98*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response Variability	-11.18 (-9.43) [0.58]	-11.18 (-6.12) [1.68*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. SRB = Standardized regression-based. An asterisk (*) and bolded text indicates significant change (>.80 Confidence Interval) relative to Administration 1. Factor scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an "---" in this table.

Summary Scores

The TEC provides *T* scores, percentiles, and raw scores for TEC Summary scores for each administration. Summary scores reflect an individual's average performance for each accuracy and response time variable across all tasks completed and facilitate the examination of overall level of performance for these variables. These variables include (a) correct responses to Target stimuli (i.e., Target Correct), (b) correct responses to Standard stimuli (i.e., Standard Correct), (c) incorrect responses (i.e., Incorrect), (d) commission errors (i.e., Commissions), (e) RT for Target stimuli (i.e., Target RT), (f) RT for Standard stimuli (i.e., Standard RT), (g) standard deviation of the RT (i.e., RTSD; calculated for Standard stimuli only), and (h) Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation (i.e., ICV; calculated for Standard stimuli only). The raw number of Target Omissions and Standard Omissions also are presented. The subsequent figures show the *T* scores obtained for the response accuracy and response time variables for each administration, respectively. The subsequent tables present the *T* scores, percentiles, and raw scores for TEC Summary scores for each administration (Summary score table) and the predicted and SRB change scores for each Summary score across administrations (Summary score SRB table).


TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Client Name: Sample Client


Client ID: Website PSR

Page 6 of 14

Bar Graph of Summary *T* Scores Obtained for Response Accuracy Variables Across TEC Administrations



Bar Graph of Summary *T* Scores Obtained for Response Time Variables Across TEC Administrations



Note. RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

Page 7 of 14

Summary T Scores, Percentiles, and Raw Scores Obtained for Each TEC Administration

Variable	Administration				
	1 Form 2 11/05/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	2 Form 2 11/12/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	3 Form 3 11/21/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	4 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	5 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]
Accuracy					
Target Correct ^a	44 (27) [13.17 (66%)]	38 (12) [14.83 (74%)]	30 (2) [17.17 (86%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard Correct ^a	45 (31) [69.17 (96%)]	44 (27) [69.67 (97%)]	42 (21) [71.00 (99%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Target Omissions	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.00]	[---]	[---]
Standard Omissions	[0.83]	[0.00]	[0.00]	[---]	[---]
Incorrect	44 (27) [8.50]	43 (24) [7.50]	36 (8) [3.67]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Commissions	44 (27) [4.00]	49 (46) [5.33]	35 (7) [1.00]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response time					
Target RT	66 (95) [625.33]	58 (79) [536.92]	56 (73) [513.94]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RT	66 (95) [590.00]	57 (76) [507.84]	56 (73) [497.10]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RTSD	69 (97) [225.42]	66 (95) [211.85]	57 (76) [168.34]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard ICV	63 (90) [0.39]	67 (96) [0.42]	56 (73) [0.34]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. Age-specific norms were used to generate this profile. RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation. Summary scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an “---” in this table.

^a T scores are based on the raw number of correct responses to Target or Standard stimuli. Percentage correct is displayed in parentheses within the brackets to facilitate comparison of performance across tasks. % Correct scores are calculated as the mean percentage of correct responses per task (e.g., for Standard stimuli, (0B % Correct + 0BI % Correct + ... + 2BI % Correct)/6) and not as the number of total correct responses divided by the total possible responses.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

Page 8 of 14

Predicted Raw Scores, Obtained Raw Scores, and SRB Change Scores for Each Summary Score Across Each TEC Administration

Variable	Administration Comparison			
	Time 1 vs Time 2 Predicted raw score (Obtained raw score) [SRB change score]	Time 1 vs Time 3 Predicted raw score (Obtained raw score) [SRB change score]	Time 1 vs Time 4 Predicted raw score (Obtained raw score) [SRB change score]	Time 1 vs Time 5 Predicted raw score (Obtained raw score) [SRB change score]
Accuracy				
Target Correct	13.70 (14.83) [0.57]	13.70 (17.17) [1.75*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard Correct	69.11 (69.67) [0.35]	69.11 (71.00) [1.18]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Incorrect	7.29 (7.50) [-0.10]	7.29 (3.67) [1.75*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Commissions	3.86 (5.33) [-1.13]	3.86 (1.00) [2.17*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response time				
Target RT	609.28 (536.92) [1.06]	609.28 (513.94) [1.39*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RT	573.00 (507.84) [2.48*]	573.00 (497.10) [2.89*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RTSD	237.69 (211.85) [1.29*]	237.69 (168.34) [3.46*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard ICV	0.43 (0.42) [0.31]	0.43 (0.34) [2.50*]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. SRB = Standardized regression-based; RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation. An asterisk (*) and bolded text indicates significant change (> .80 Confidence Interval) relative to Administration 1. Summary scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an “---” in this table.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Page 9 of 14

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

Task Scores

The TEC provides *T* scores, percentiles, and raw scores for accuracy and response time variables for each task. These variables include Target Correct, Standard Correct, Incorrect, Commissions, Target RT, Standard RT, RTSD (calculated for Standard stimuli only), and ICV (calculated for Standard stimuli only). The raw number of Target Omissions and Standard Omissions also are presented. Because of the large number of potential comparisons and the increased likelihood of a Type I error, SRB change analyses are not calculated for individual Task scores between administrations. The following tables present the *T* scores, percentiles, and raw scores for accuracy and response time variables by administration for each TEC task, respectively.

T Scores, Percentiles, and Raw Scores Obtained for the TEC 0-Back/No Inhibit (0B) Task

Variable	Administration				
	1 Form 2 11/05/2008 Age: 13 <i>T</i> score (Percentile) [Raw score]	2 Form 2 11/12/2008 Age: 13 <i>T</i> score (Percentile) [Raw score]	3 Form 3 11/21/2008 Age: 13 <i>T</i> score (Percentile) [Raw score]	4 --- --- --- <i>T</i> score (Percentile) [Raw score]	5 --- --- --- <i>T</i> score (Percentile) [Raw score]
Accuracy					
Target Correct ^a	46 (34) [16 (80%)]	46 (34) [16 (80%)]	46 (34) [16 (80%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard Correct ^a	47 (38) [78 (98%)]	48 (42) [77 (96%)]	44 (27) [80 (100%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Target Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Standard Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Incorrect	46 (34) [6]	48 (42) [7]	44 (27) [4]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response time					
Target RT	68 (96) [616.88]	61 (86) [551.98]	57 (76) [510.63]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RT	69 (97) [600.70]	58 (79) [497.21]	58 (79) [499.64]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RTSD	65 (93) [196.30]	60 (84) [172.69]	61 (86) [176.23]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard ICV	57 (76) [0.33]	60 (84) [0.35]	61 (86) [0.35]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation.

Task scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an “---” in this table.

^a *T* scores are based on the raw number of correct responses to Target or Standard stimuli. Percentage correct is displayed in parentheses within the brackets to facilitate comparison of performance across tasks.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Page 10 of 14

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

T Scores, Percentiles, and Raw Scores Obtained for the TEC 0-Back/Inhibit (0BI) Task

Variable	Administration				
	1 Form 2 11/05/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	2 Form 2 11/12/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	3 Form 3 11/21/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	4 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	5 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]
Accuracy					
Target Correct ^a	38 (12) [18 (90%)]	46 (34) [15 (75%)]	46 (34) [15 (75%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard Correct ^a	46 (34) [62 (97%)]	43 (24) [64 (100%)]	45 (31) [63 (98%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Target Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Standard Omissions	[1]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Incorrect	42 (21) [3]	45 (31) [5]	47 (38) [6]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Commissions	38 (12) [1]	41 (18) [2]	38 (12) [1]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response time					
Target RT	85 (> 99) [844.07]	60 (84) [582.54]	53 (62) [508.70]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RT	81 (> 99) [718.89]	57 (76) [508.97]	58 (79) [519.30]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RTSD	72 (99) [225.49]	59 (82) [159.24]	61 (86) [170.48]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard ICV	58 (79) [0.31]	58 (79) [0.31]	60 (84) [0.33]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation.

Task scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an "---" in this table.

^a T scores are based on the raw number of correct responses to Target or Standard stimuli. Percentage correct is displayed in parentheses within the brackets to facilitate comparison of performance across tasks.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Page 11 of 14

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

T Scores, Percentiles, and Raw Scores Obtained for the TEC 1-Back/No Inhibit (1B) Task

Variable	Administration				
	1 Form 2 11/05/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	2 Form 2 11/12/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	3 Form 3 11/21/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	4 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	5 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]
Accuracy					
Target Correct ^a	52 (58) [11 (55%)]	44 (27) [14 (70%)]	32 (4) [18 (90%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard Correct ^a	47 (38) [77 (96%)]	47 (38) [77 (96%)]	45 (31) [79 (99%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Target Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Standard Omissions	[2]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Incorrect	46 (34) [9]	46 (34) [9]	38 (12) [3]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response time					
Target RT	71 (98) [663.40]	54 (66) [457.08]	63 (90) [566.17]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RT	68 (96) [617.43]	58 (79) [514.40]	53 (62) [469.34]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RTSD	70 (98) [232.46]	64 (92) [200.66]	56 (73) [156.94]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard ICV	60 (84) [0.38]	62 (88) [0.39]	56 (73) [0.33]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation.

Task scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an "---" in this table.

^a T scores are based on the raw number of correct responses to Target or Standard stimuli. Percentage correct is displayed in parentheses within the brackets to facilitate comparison of performance across tasks.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Page 12 of 14

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

T Scores, Percentiles, and Raw Scores Obtained for the TEC 1-Back/Inhibit (1BI) Task

Variable	Administration				
	1 Form 2 11/05/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	2 Form 2 11/12/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	3 Form 3 11/21/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	4 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	5 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]
Accuracy					
Target Correct ^a	52 (58) [9 (45%)]	34 (5) [16 (80%)]	28 (1) [18 (90%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard Correct ^a	47 (38) [61 (95%)]	46 (34) [62 (97%)]	46 (34) [62 (97%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Target Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Standard Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Incorrect	52 (58) [14]	39 (14) [6]	34 (5) [3]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Commissions	46 (34) [4]	55 (69) [7]	38 (12) [1]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response time					
Target RT	59 (82) [590.83]	58 (79) [570.33]	54 (66) [518.50]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RT	61 (86) [589.32]	55 (69) [513.87]	55 (69) [511.83]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RTSD	67 (96) [238.83]	67 (96) [242.77]	54 (66) [158.18]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard ICV	62 (88) [0.41]	70 (98) [0.47]	51 (54) [0.31]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation.

Task scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an "---" in this table.

^a T scores are based on the raw number of correct responses to Target or Standard stimuli. Percentage correct is displayed in parentheses within the brackets to facilitate comparison of performance across tasks.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Page 13 of 14

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

T Scores, Percentiles, and Raw Scores Obtained for the TEC 2-Back/No Inhibit (2B) Task

Variable	Administration				
	1 Form 2 11/05/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	2 Form 2 11/12/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	3 Form 3 11/21/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	4 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	5 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]
Accuracy					
Target Correct ^a	48 (42) [10 (50%)]	41 (18) [13 (65%)]	30 (2) [17 (85%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard Correct ^a	45 (31) [75 (94%)]	45 (31) [75 (94%)]	42 (21) [78 (98%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Target Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Standard Omissions	[2]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Incorrect	44 (27) [12]	44 (27) [12]	36 (8) [5]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response time					
Target RT	56 (73) [536.72]	56 (73) [543.61]	50 (50) [456.63]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RT	56 (73) [506.33]	56 (73) [510.04]	57 (76) [518.49]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RTSD	69 (97) [276.11]	61 (86) [221.08]	54 (66) [176.37]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard ICV	69 (97) [0.55]	59 (82) [0.43]	51 (54) [0.34]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation.

Task scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an "---" in this table.

^a T scores are based on the raw number of correct responses to Target or Standard stimuli. Percentage correct is displayed in parentheses within the brackets to facilitate comparison of performance across tasks.

TEC™ Protocol Summary Report

Page 14 of 14

Client Name: Sample Client

Client ID: Website PSR

T Scores, Percentiles, and Raw Scores Obtained for the TEC 2-Back/Inhibit (2BI) Task

Variable	Administration				
	1 Form 2 11/05/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	2 Form 2 11/12/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	3 Form 3 11/21/2008 Age: 13 T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	4 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]	5 --- --- --- T score (Percentile) [Raw score]
Accuracy					
Target Correct ^a	39 (14) [15 (75%)]	39 (14) [15 (75%)]	30 (2) [19 (95%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard Correct ^a	44 (27) [62 (97%)]	42 (21) [63 (98%)]	40 (16) [64 (100%)]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Target Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Standard Omissions	[0]	[0]	[0]	[---]	[---]
Incorrect	41 (18) [7]	40 (16) [6]	32 (4) [1]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Commissions	50 (50) [7]	50 (50) [7]	34 (5) [1]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Response time					
Target RT	54 (66) [500.08]	56 (73) [515.98]	56 (73) [523.01]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RT	56 (73) [507.33]	56 (73) [502.54]	52 (58) [464.03]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard RTSD	54 (66) [183.35]	67 (96) [274.67]	52 (58) [171.87]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]
Standard ICV	51 (54) [0.36]	67 (96) [0.55]	51 (54) [0.37]	--- (---) [---]	--- (---) [---]

Note. RT = Response Time; RTSD = Standard Deviation of the Response Time; ICV = Intra-Individual Coefficient of Variation.

Task scores that were not calculated because of missing or invalid data are indicated by an "---" in this table.

^a T scores are based on the raw number of correct responses to Target or Standard stimuli. Percentage correct is displayed in parentheses within the brackets to facilitate comparison of performance across tasks.

End of Report